ISSN: 2149-2018

Applied Medical Research, 2021
VOL 8, NO. 1, PAGE 1 – 5
DOI: doi.org/10.47363/AMR/2021(8)198

REVIEW ARTICLE

Open Access

Non-compliance with Wearing Facemasks thru Pandemics: Reappraisal of Interconnected Dynamics

Saeed Shoja Shafti

Full Professor of Psychiatry, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences (USWR), Razi Psychiatric Hospital, Tehran, Iran

ABSTRACT

Though immunization is the main approach for control and prevention of SARS-CoV-2, individual shielding methods, such as wearing facemasks, are similarly significant defensive conducts to decrease the risk of becoming infected with viral infections for the period of a pandemic. While medication non-compliance is a common issue for clinicians, non-compliance with shielding measures, like wearing facemasks, for prevention of infection in healthcare and community settings is rather a new problem. On the other hand, while medication non-adherence has more an individual characteristic, non-compliance with protective methods for prevention of contamination has more a social feature. In this regard, though, maybe, neither of existing shielding measures nor the proposed strategies may promise a complete protection against the biotic dangers, certainly acting in accordance with the safety methods will increase the popular protection and health. But, why some of the people evade protective maneuvers and what is wrong with the reasonableness and awareness that is expected to be revealed by all citizens? How the gloomy sequence of dispersion of infectious diseases can be blocked or destabilized when the masses do not have confidence in favorable recommendations that are issued by the most authentic universal administrations, like World Health Organization, or the reliable and answerable native health executives. In the present article, after reviewing the background of non-compliance in medicine, and chronology of wearing facemasks for prevention of infection in community settings, the route of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19), and evidential analysis of community masking has been talked over. In addition, after appraisal of plausible interconnected psychodynamic and/or psychopathologic factors, the problem solving strategies, like increasing awareness through education and feedback, and necessity of collaboration between health care practitioners and people, has been stressed. While the promotion of compliance must be the responsibility of both the health care professional and the populace, right interventions for targeting public misunderstandings about recommended shielding maneuvers can reduce preventable infection rates during pandemic, and no longer must the people be viewed as the only guilty party.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received Apr 11, 2021
Accepted Apr 24, 2021
Published Apr 29, 2021

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Pandemic, Non-Compliance, Masks, Facemasks, Protection Of The Wearer, Source Control, Community Transmission

Introduction

While medication non-compliance is a common issue for clinicians, non-compliance with shielding measures, like wearing facemasks, for prevention of infection in healthcare and community settings is rather a new problem [1-6]. On the other hand, while medication non-adherence has more a personal characteristic, non-compliance with protective methods for prevention of contamination has more a social feature. In this regard, though, maybe, neither of existing shielding measures nor the proposed strategies may promise a complete protection against the biotic dangers, certainly acting in accordance with the safety methods will increase the popular protection and health [7]. But, why some of the people evade protective maneuvers and what is wrong with the reasonableness and awareness that is expected to be exposed by all citizens? How the gloomy sequence of dispersion of infectious illnesses can be blocked or destabilized when the masses do not have confidence in favorable recommendations that are issued by the most authentic universal administrations, like World Health Organization (W.H.O), or the reliable and answerable native health executives [7].

Background of Non-Compliance in Medicine

While drug non-compliance, demarcated as a patient's impassive failure to follow an arranged medication schedule, remains an important worry for doctors and patients, averagely, 30-50% of patients do not act in accordance with the recommended treatment programs, and W.H.O, as well, noting that the average non-compliance rate is 50% among those with chronic ailments. In addition, consequences of non-adherence include increased health care costs, increased comorbid diseases, worsening condition, and death. Non-adherence results from many causes, like the issue of autonomy, misunderstanding, awkward interaction between patient and physician, poor socioeconomic background, lack of family and social support, lack of motivation, youngness, oldness, and female gander [1-4].

Contact Saeed Shoja Shafti ✉ ssshafti@gmail.com Full Professor of Psychiatry, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences (USWR), Razi Psychiatric Hospital, Tehran – Iran. Tel: 0098-21-33401220.

History of Wearing Facemasks for Prevention of Pandemic

Wu Lien Teh's effort to decrease the 1910 Manchurian Plague has been admired as a landmark in the methodical exercise of epidemiological doctrines in infection control, in which Wu acknowledged the cloth mask as the prime tools for staffs' protection [8,9]. Though Wu planned the cloth mask that was utilized in most courtiers in the early decades of 20th century, he stated that the aerial spread of plague was acknowledged in the 13th century, and face covers were suggested for shielding during respiratory epidemics from the 14th century [9]. Wu recounted tryouts that revealed a cotton mask was operative at stopping airborne communication, plus observational proof of usefulness for health care staffs. Masks have continued to be commonly used to decrease spread of respiratory contagions in East Asia up to the present day, involving SARS-CoV-2 epidemic [10].

Route of Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19)

Contagion is spread mainly by exposure to respirational dewdrops breathe out by ill people when they sneeze, cough, take breaths, chat, or sing. Most of these dews are <10 µm (aerosols) and the quantity of these precipitations and elements escalates with the amount of talking and breathing force [11]. A main way of spread of SARS-CoV-2 is by means of breathing elements, and it is recognized to be communicable from presymptomatic, paucisymptomatic, and asymptomatic persons [8]. In any case, forty-five percent of infected people don't show noticeable symptoms. Amongst persons who do show symptomatic disease, risk of contamination peaks in the days in advance beginning of symptoms and for a few days afterwards. So, the number of contaminations transmitted peaks when virus levels peak. So, more than half of all infections are transferred from persons who are not showing symptoms. This means, fifty percent of new pollutions come from persons likely unmindful that they are contagious to others [12, 13]. Cloth covers block most big (>20-30 µm) breathe out respirational condensations and Multi-layer cloth covers considerably block breathing precipitations <1-10 µm, which include the highest portion of respired breathing precipitations, and decreases as high as 50-70%; some on the same level with surgical masks [14]. On the other hand, while their act on filtration of breathe in small droplets is not equal to their performance about blocking exhaled mall droplets, enhancement is probable with more layers, multiple materials, Static charge, or hydrophobic ingredients [15]. Multi-layer cloth masks can both block up to 50-70% of the said precipitations and restrict the forward spread of those that are not caught [16,17]. So, cloth masks are analogous to surgical masks when used together for public control (i.e., when joined for both personal protection and source control) [18]. It is particularly important to wear a mask when you are in a closed space with people you do not live with and when you are not capable to stay no less than six feet away from each other, since SARS-CoV-2 spreads mainly among people who are in close contact with one another [19]. Besides, wearing a mask does not increase the carbon dioxide (CO2) level in the air you respire because CO2 molecules are sufficiently small to pass with no trouble through any cloth mask. In contrary, the breathing precipitations that transport the virus that causes COVID-19 are much bigger than CO2, so they cannot pass as straightforwardly through a correctly planned and suitably worn cloth mask [18].

Evidential Analysis of Community Masking

Systematic review of writings provides confirmation in support of pervasive mask use as source control to decrease public spread (Table 1) [20-31]. This is in spite of finding of MacIntyre et al. that cloth covers were not meaningfully superior than the control condition and were lower than surgical masks against upper respiratory illness and viral infection, which due to a number of methodical difficulties, including absence of COVID-19 infection, lack of a true control group, performing study in a healthcare location and not a in general public situation, and open policy, is not generalizable to community masking [32, 33]. Methodical studies have proved that non-medical covers have been operative in dropping broadcast of respiratory infections; and locations and time periods where mask usage is compulsory or pervasive have revealed significantly lesser public spread [20-31]. The existing proof advocates that near-universal adoption of non-medical masks when out in public, in combination with corresponding public health measures, could magnificently decrease the average number of persons infected by one person in a population (Re) to below 1, thus reducing community spread if such measures are continual [8]. Also, results propose that community mask wearing is most helpful at decreasing spread of the infection when adherence is hight [34]. When used in tandem with communication tracing, secluding of anyone that may be septic, extensive testing, hand washing, and physical separation, face masks are a valued tool to decrease public spread [35].

Scholar	Year	Method	Findings
Chu et al. [20]	2020	Systematic review	Face mask use could result in a large reduction in risk of infection.
Jefferson et al. [21]	2011	Cochrane review	Overall masks were the best performing intervention across populations, settings and threats.
Jefferson et al. [22]	2020	Systematic review	There was insufficient evidence to provide a recommendation on the use of facial barriers without hand hygiene and physical distancing.
MacIntyre and Chughtai [23]	2020	Systematic review	Community mask use could be beneficial for well people, and as source control.
Gupta et al. [24]	2020	Systematic review	Homemade masks worn by sick people can reduce virus transmission by mitigating aerosol dispersal and droplets.
Brainard et al. [25]	2020	Systematic review	Face masks in a general population offered significant benefit in preventing the spread of respiratory viruses especially in the pandemic situation.
Leffler et al. [26]	2020	Multiple regression	Transmission was 7.5 times higher in countries that did not have a mask mandate or universal mask use

Kenyon [27]	2020	Multiple regression	Transmission was 7.5 times higher in countries that did not have a mask mandate or universal mask use
Lyu and Wehby [28]	2020	Ecological survey	Daily growth rate of infection in USA was 2.0 percentage points lower in states with mask mandates
Hatzius et al. [29]	2020	Multiple regression	Face masks have a large reduction effect on infections and fatalities
Leung et al. [30]	2020	laboratory-based evidence	Household masks have filtration capacity in the relevant particle size range, as well as efficacy in blocking aerosols and droplets from the wearer
Ippolito et al. [31]	2020	Epidemiological study	Face masks with valves do not capture respiratory particles as efficiently, bypassing the filtration mechanism, and therefore offer less source control

While comprehension rests on on theoretical information and applied working out, hypothetical understanding cannot be inventive if it is not escorted with full rational aptitude and understanding of core conception. Then again, applied preparation, as well, cannot be profitable if it is not enhanced with concrete documents in real surroundings. These two processes, which form the core curriculum of every academic preparation, can be operative publicly, as well, if target groups be designated wisely. On the other hand, while tutoring regarding biological hazards and related protective schemes are among the rudimentary trainings of doctors and clinical employees, confrontation against protective recommendations and guidelines is clear, more or less, in some GPs, specialists and workforces, too, which makes them as like as uneducated laypeople with respect to ignorance of a gloomy cycle that demands community participation for successful control or final elimination [7]. Disregard to plausible psychological factors, like hopelessness and helplessness, which can be induced by overwhelming disasters and may intrude sensible judgment of every person, it must not be overlooked that collective, logical or scientific insight is still controllable by cultural ideals, which can undermine subjective discernment, especially if there is conflict between inner faiths and external proofs. However, within the social order, non-adherence to defensive procedures may accelerate spreading of transmissible infections, and when it is being performed by a person, who based on ideal subjective beliefs neglect the civic well-being, it is not just carelessness or prejudice; it is approximating to massacre. The condition is similar to transportation rubrics that everyone should comply with, even if he or she hates them, and should pay back if cause injuries or mortalities because of breaking the instructions. Public guidelines have been devised in the best interests of collective life [7]. Some of the psychodynamic issues that may pertain to non-compliance with safety measures or recommendations involve: Image of illness and weakness; negative experience of others in spite of usage; unknown side effects due to that; unconscious illness tendencies; countertransference to administrates, administrators or health staff; useless instrument; claustrophobia; specific phobia; unconscious sense of guilt; unconscious wish of death [36, 37]. Likewise, some of the psychopathologic problems that may pertain to the aforesaid non-compliance, together with their prevalence in community, consist of: personality traits or disorders, like paranoid (2.3 - 4.4%), schizotypal (0.6 - 4.6%), narcissistic (0 - 6.2%), antisocial (0.2 - 3.3%), histrionic (1.84%), obsessive - compulsive (2.1 - 7.9%), passive - aggressive (negativistic), sadistic-aggressive, sadistic-masochistic, and depressive personality disorder; cognitive problems, like intellectual disability (1%) or borderline intellectual functioning (6%); disruptive, impulse-control, and conduct disorders, like oppositional defiant disorder (3.3%), conduct disorder (4%); depression (5%); bipolar disorder (0.4%); attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (5% children, and 2.5% adults); schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders, like persecutory type of delusional disorder (0.2%) [38,39]. While the said problems can predispose the state of mind for taking opposite attitude against wearing facemasks or other shielding measures for prevention of infection in healthcare and community settings, it does not mean that every non-complainer is psychiatrically sick. Some of them may just follow their sect's rules, as a faithful believer [40]. In DSM-5, sects has been discussed in the subdivision of 'Other Conditions That May Be a Focus of Clinical Attention', which covers other situations **and** difficulties that may be a focus of clinical care or that may otherwise affect the diagnosis, progression, prospects, or management of a patient's mental illness [41, 42]. Cults are often led by magnetic leaders, and their followers are powerfully controlled and forced to disband commitment to family and society to serve the cult leader's commands and recommendations [41]. There are many sects and fans that have faith in strange healers, like shamans, faith healers, naturopaths, and witchdoctors, which seem godlike and free from mistakes or limitations of conventional medicine. While generally sects include a combination of educated and illiterate devotees, faith in unlimited power is a general wish that may influence everyone, because it creates hopefulness, which is irreplaceable, outstanding and extremely rare. Then again, some of the non-complainers are neither mentally sick or sect's devotee; they are people who demand robust proof in support of effectiveness of protective measures, which are in use for controlling viral infections like, influenza, influenza-like illness, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Also, they may concern, ideologically or idealistically, free will and independence. While statistics concerning the "real-life" usefulness of public masking are restricted to observational and epidemiological investigations, experimental statistics support community masking to decrease the spread of SARS-CoV-2 [16,18,32,43]. Also, the protective advantage of masking is resulting from the mixture of source control and individual protection for the mask wearer [44-46]. The connection between source control and personal protection is likely harmonizing and conceivably synergistic, so that personal profit surges with increasing public mask usage [47-49]. No doubt, additional study is necessary to develop the existing proof for the shielding outcome of cloth masks and especially to find the amalgamations of ingredients that maximize both their obstructive and purifying effectiveness, along with fit, ease, resilience, and customer demand [50]. Implementing worldwide masking approaches can help stop future lockdown, specifically if united with other non-drug interferences such as hand cleanliness, satisfactory aeration and social separation [18]. Anyhow, as said by Taylor and Asmundson, results about aversion to being forced to wear masks are significant for the reason that, tentatively, such hatred is likely to strengthen other anti-masks outlooks (e.g., dogmas that masks are ineffective) because people with strong aversion react with rage and counter-arguments when their opinions are confronted, thereby

leading to a strengthening of their anti-mask theories [5]. On the other hand, as stated by Sim et al., multifaceted interferences that use multipronged methods and pointing the five components of the Health Belief Model, principally perceived susceptibility, are desired to increase the usage of facemasks in the public [6]. On the word of Sim et al., persons are more probable to wear facemasks owing to supposed vulnerability and supposed harshness of being troubled with dangerous ailments. Though supposed defenselessness looked to be the most important issue determining amenability, perceived profits of mask-wearing was found to have momentous effects on mask-wearing adherence too. Supposed obstacles include experience or perception of subjective distress and sense of humiliation. Mass media blitzkrieg and civic health advertising actions supported by administration organizations offer signals to escalate the populace's usage of facemasks [6]. Likewise, Zhang et al. found four overall scopes of facemask wearing: (1) supposed vulnerability and gravity of contagious pandemic, amending issues (e.g., social responsibility to prevent contamination), cue of act (e.g., seeing others doing it), and perceived assistances and obstacles (e.g., shields oneself and others, difficult to respire) [2-4,51]. Lastly, similar to medication non-compliance, while increasing awareness through education and feedback, and collaboration between health care practitioners and people, with the goal of achieving optimal health outcomes, must be acknowledged as proper policies, people must no longer be viewed as the guilty party. The promotion of adherence must be the duty of both the health care personnel and the populace [1-4].

Conclusion

Though immunization is the main approach for control and prevention of SARS-CoV-2, individual shielding methods, such as wearing facemasks, are similarly significant defensive conducts to decrease the risk of becoming infected with viral infections for the period of a pandemic [51]. Controlled laboratory-based experimental studies, epidemiological investigations, and population-level community studies have proved that cloth masks reduce community exposure to SARS-CoV-2, and offer both source control and personal protection. Though the association is probably balancing and perhaps synergistic, public profit originates from the amalgamation of these effects and personal assistance rises with growing communal mask use. Wearing masks by both the septic and healthy individual gives the healthy one the most safety. Worldwide masking strategies can aid especially if combined with other non-drug interferences such as social separation, hand cleanliness, and adequate aeration [18,52]. On the other hand, right interventions for targeting public misunderstandings about recommended shielding maneuvers can reduce preventable infection rates during pandemic. No society can survive without communal concern and supportive corporation. Inconsiderate conduct of a civilian, due to own contemplates, is not permissible, since subjectivity is immeasurable, while objectivity has clear frontiers and indications. Switching group partiality to communal impartiality is a necessity if public triumph is a shared wish. Every civilian must be intelligent enough to distinct between cultural values and professional ethics, traditions and guidelines, individual contemplates and social necessities, subjective verdicts and general decrees, messy schemes and methodical tactics, personal interests and public welfare, gossips and proofs, unempirical findings and scientific discoveries, and lastly, emotive vision and logical understanding; else, biotic threats may find lots of free camouflaged agents, which can be more threatening and harmful than the principal hazard.

References

[1] Evans L, Spelman M. The problem of non-compliance with drug therapy. Drugs. 1983; 25: 63-76

[2] Baumann M, Trincard M. [Autonomy attitudes in the treatment compliance of a cohort of subjects with continuous psychotropic drug administration] Encephale. 2002; 28: 389-396.

[3] Hermansson Lindberg MJ, Andersen SE, Christensen HR, Kampmann JP. [Compliance to drug prescriptions] Ugeskr Laeger. 2008; 170: 1912-1916.

[4] Chisholm-Burns M A, Spivey CA. The 'cost' of medication nonadherence: consequences we cannot afford to accept. J Am Pharm Assoc. 2012; 52: 823-826.

[5] Taylor S, Asmundson JG. Negative attitudes about facemasks during the COVID-19 pandemic: The dual importance of perceived ineffectiveness and psychological reactance. PLoS One. 2021; 16: e0246317.

[6] Sim SW, Moey KSP, Tan NC. The use of facemasks to prevent respiratory infection: a literature review in the context of the Health Belief Model. Singapore Med. J 2014; 55: 160-167.

[7] Shoja Shafti S. Necessity of Educational Reconsideration with Reference to Shielding Responsibilities. Scholarly Journal of Psychology and Behavioral Sciences. 2020; 4: 410-411.

[8] Howarda J, Huangc A, Lid Z, Tufekcie Z, Zdimalf V, Westhuizeng H-M, et al. An evidence review of face masks against COVID-19. PNAS. 2021; 118: 1-12.

[9] Wu LT. A Treatise on Pneumonic Plague. League of Nations, Health Organization. 1926: 373-398.

[10] Cowling BJ, Ali ST, Ng TWY, Tsang TK, Li JCM, Fong MW, et al., Impact assessment of non-pharmaceutical interventions against coronavirus disease 2019 and influenza in Hong Kong: An observational study. Lancet Public Health. 2020; 5: 279-288.

[11] Alsved M, Matamis A, Bohlin R, Richter M, Bengtsson PE, Fraenkel CJ, et al. Exhaled respiratory particles during singing and talking external icon. Aerosol Sci Technol. 2020; 54: 1245-1248.

[12] Johansson MA, Quandelacy TM, Kada S, Prasad PV, Steele M, Brooks JT, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Transmission From People Without COVID-19 Symptoms external icon. JAMA Netw Open. 2021; 4: e2035057.

[13] Byambasuren O, Cardona M, Bell K, Clark J, McLaws ML, Glasziou P. Estimating the extent of asymptomatic COVID-19 and its potential for community transmission: Systematic review and meta-analysis external icon. J Assoc Med Microbiol Infect Dis Can. 2020; 5: 223-234.

[14] Chughtai AA, Seale H, Macintyre CR. Effectiveness of Cloth Masks for Protection Against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020; 26: e200948.

[15] Gandhi M, Beyrer C, Goosby E. Masks Do More Than Protect Others During COVID-19: Reducing the Inoculum of SARS-CoV-2 to Protect the Wearer external icon. J Gen Intern Med. 2020; 35: 3063-3066.

[16] Moghadas SM, Fitzpatrick MC, Sah P, Pandey A, Shoukat A, Singer BH, et al. The implications of silent transmission for the control of COVID-19 outbreaks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020; 117: 17513-17515.

[17] Abkarian M, Mendez S, Xue N, Yang F, Stone HA. Speech

can produce jet-like transport relevant to asymptomatic spreading of virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020; 117: 25237-25245.
[18] https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/cloth-face-cover-guidance.html#evidence-effectiveness.
[19] Sousa-Pinto B, Fonte AP, Lopes AA, et al. Face masks for community use: An awareness call to the differences in materials external icon. Respirology. 2020; 25: 894-895.
[20] Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, Solo K, Yaacoub S, Schünemann HJ. Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2020; 395: 1973-1987.
[21] Jefferson T, Del Mar CB, Dooley L, Ferroni E, Al-Ansary LA, Bawazeer GA, et al. Physical interventions to interrupt or reduce the spread of respiratory viruses. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev 2011; 7: CD006207.
[22] Jefferson T, Jones M, Al Ansari LA, Bawazeer GA. Physical interventions to interrupt or reduce the spread of respiratory viruses. Part 1 - Face masks, eye protection and person distancing: Systematic review and meta-analysis. 2020.
[23] MacIntyre CR, Chughtai A. rapid systematic review of the efficacy of face masks and respirators against coronaviruses and other respiratory transmissible viruses for the community. 2020; 1: 103629.
[24] Gupta A M, Gupta K, Gupta S. The use of facemasks by the general population to prevent transmission of Covid 19 infection: A systematic review. 2020; 59: 20087064.
[25] Brainard JS, Jones N, Lake I, Hooper L, Hunter P. Facemasks and similar barriers to prevent respiratory illness such as COVID-19: A rapid systematic review. 2020; 4: 20049528.
[26] Leffler CT , Ing E, Lykins JD, Hogan MC, McKeown CA, Grzybowski A. Association of country-wide coronavirus mortality with demographics, testing, lockdowns, and public wearing of masks. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2020; 103: 2400-2411.
[27] Kenyon C. Widespread use of face masks in public may slow the spread of SARS CoV-2: An ecological study. 2020; Doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.31.20048652.
[28] Lyu W, Wehby G L. Community use of face masks and COVID-19: Evidence from a natural experiment of state mandates in the US. Health Aff. 2020; 39: 1419-1425.
[29] Hatzius J, Struyven D, Rosenbery I. Face masks and GDP. 2020; https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/pages/face-masks-and-gdp.html.
[30] Leung NHL, Chu DKW, Shiu EYC, Chan Kh, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJP, et al. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat. Med. 2020; 26: 676-680.
[31] Ippolito M, Iozzo P, Gregoretti C, Grasselli G, Cortegiani A. Face piece filtering respirators with exhalation valve should not be used in the community to limit SARS-CoV-2 diffusion. Infect. Contr. Hosp. Epidemiol. 2020; 15, 1-2.
[32] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The Science of Masking to Control COVID-19; November 16, 2020: 15-16.
[33] MacIntyre CR, Chughtai AA. Facemasks for the prevention of infection in healthcare and community settings BMJ. 2015; 350: 694.
[34] Tian L, Li X , Qi F, Tang QY, Qian Y, Tang V, et al., Calibrated intervention and containment of the COVID-19 pandemic. Ar Xiv. 2020; 7353v4.
[35] Rader B, White LF, Burns MR, Chen J, Brilliant J, Cohen J, et al. Mask-wearing and control of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in the USA: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Digit Health. 2021; 3: 148-157.
[36] Schwartz HJ, Bleiberg E, Weissman SH. The Psychology of Prescribing and Taking Medication in. Psychodynamic Concepts in General Psychiatry. American psychiatric press, Inc. Washington DC. 1995: 401-416.
[37] Shoja Shafti S. Psychoanalytic Analysis of Psychopathology. 2nd Edition. Tehran, Jami Publishing Company; 2020.
[38] American Psychiatric Association (APA). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th edition. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association. 2013: 31-708.
[39] Harrison P, Geddes J, Sharpe M. Lecture Notes: Psychiatry. Tenth Edition, West Sussex, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2010.
[40] Shoja Shafti S. Narcissism: Groundwork for Sectarian Misdemeanors. International Journal of Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2020; 2: 08-16.
[41] Sadock BJ, Sadock VA, Ruiz P, eds. Other Conditions that May be a Focus of Clinical Attention: Cults. KAPLAN & SADOCK'S Synopsis of Psychiatry; 11th edition, Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia. 2015; 812-823.
[42] American Psychiatric Association (APA). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th edition. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 2013; 715-727.